Density Functional and Dynamical Mean-Field Theory (DFT+DMFT) method and its application to real materials

## Vladimir I. Anisimov

Institute of Metal Physics Ekaterinburg, Russia



Contents

#### **Method formulation:**

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory (DMFT) Wannier functions as localized orbitals basis Determination of Hamiltonian parameters "Constrained DFT" calculations for Coulomb interaction parameters DFT+DMFT calculation scheme

Contents

#### **Results of DFT+DMFT calculations:**

**Strongly correlated metal SrVO<sub>3</sub>** Metal-insulator transition in V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Heavy fermions in d-system Li<sub>2</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> **Charge transfer insulator NiO** Metal-insulator transition with pressure in MnO **Correlated covalent insulators FeSi and FeSb2** Novel superconductors LaOFeAs, BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> Jahn-Teller distortions in KCuF<sub>3</sub> **f**-electrons localization in Ce

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory

Mapping impurity Anderson model on lattice Hubbard model



• includes all many-body correlations !

"single-impurity Anderson model" + self-consistency

Georges and Kotliar (1992)

*dynamic* mean-field (hybridization function):

 $\Delta(\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{|V_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{\omega - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}$ 

self-consistency condition:

$$G[\Delta(\omega)] = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \{\omega - \Sigma[\Delta(\omega)] - t_{\mathbf{k}}\}^{-1}$$
$$\Sigma[\Delta(\omega)] \equiv \Delta(\omega) - G^{-1}(\Delta(\omega)) + \omega$$

Effective impurity model defined by hybridization function is solved with an "impurity" solver, e.g., QMC, NRG, ED,...

# Including material specific details



- Model Hamiltonians:
- input parameters (*t*, *U*, ...) unknown
- systematic many-body approach

Anisimov *et al.* (1997) Lichtenstein, Katsnelson (1998) Kotliar, Vollhardt (2004)



• DFT band structure:

$$\varepsilon_{lml'm'}(k) \rightarrow \hat{H}_{LDA}$$

- + Coulomb U $\rightarrow \hat{H}_{LDA+corr}$
- solve  $\hat{H}_{\textit{LDA+corr}}$  by DMFT



Density Functional Theory:
material specific: *"ab initio"*

fails for strong correlations

Problem



# Wannier functions in real space [1]:

$$W_i(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{T}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{kT}} \langle \mathbf{r} | \psi_{i\mathbf{k}} \rangle \longleftarrow$$
 Bloch functions

#### **Advantages of Wannier function basis set:**

<Explicit form of the orbitals forming complete basis set

< Localized orbitals

< Orbitals are centered on atoms \_

like in Hubbard model

Uncertainty of WF definition for a many-band case:

$$|\psi_{i\mathbf{k}}\rangle = \sum_{j} U_{ji}^{(\mathbf{k})} |\psi_{j\mathbf{k}}\rangle$$

$$\uparrow$$
Unitary matrix

[1] G.H. Wannier, Phys. Rev. **52**, 192 (1937)

**WF in k-space** – projection of the set of trial functions [2] (atomic orbitals) into Bloch functions subspace :

$$|\widetilde{W}_{n\mathbf{k}}
angle = \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} |\psi_{i\mathbf{k}}
angle \langle \psi_{i\mathbf{k}} | \phi_n 
angle$$

Bloch functions in DFT basis (LMTO or plane waves):

$$\begin{split} |\widetilde{W}_{n\mathbf{k}}\rangle &= \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} \sum_j c_{ji}(\mathbf{k}) c_{ni}^{\star}(\mathbf{k}) |\phi_j^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = \sum_j \tilde{b}_{jn}^{\mathbf{k}} |\phi_j^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle \\ \text{coefficients of WF expansion in LMTO-orbitals:} \quad \tilde{b}_{jn}^{\mathbf{k}} &= \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} c_{ji}(\mathbf{k}) c_{ni}^{\star}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \text{Vanderbildt et al. Phys. Pay P 56, 12947 (1007)} \quad \text{for a set of the se$$

[2] D.Vanderbildt et al, Phys. Rev.B **56**, 12847 (1997)

Example of WF in real space

#### WF basis set for V-3d ( $t_{2g}$ ) subband of SrVO<sub>3</sub>: XY, XZ, YZ - orbitals



# WF in cuprates



Wannier orbitals centered on neighboring copper atoms along the *y* axis.

V. V. Mazurenko, et al, Phys. Rev. B 75, 224408 (2007)

#### Local Green function:

$$G_{n,n'}^{loc}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{V_{IBZ}} \int_{IBZ} d\mathbf{k} \left( \left[ (\varepsilon + E_f^{(N)}) 1 - H_0^{WF}(\mathbf{k}) - \Sigma(\varepsilon) \right]^{-1} \right)_{n,n'}$$
Dyson equation defines bath Green function:  

$$\mathcal{G}^{-1} = (\overline{G}^{loc})^{-1} + \Sigma$$
Self-consistent condition:  

$$G^{loc} = G^{imp} \Rightarrow \Sigma_{new}$$
Impurity problem defined bath Green function is solved by QMC

# Strongly correlated metal SrVO<sub>3</sub>





V<sup>+4</sup> (d<sup>1</sup>) ion in cubic perovskite crystal structure

One electron in partially filled  $t_{2g}$  band

I.Nekrasov et al, Phys. Rev. B 72, 155106 (2005), Phys. Rev. B 73, 155112 (2006)

# Strongly correlated metal SrVO<sub>3</sub>



#### Strongly correlated metal SrVO3



### Strongly correlated metal SrVO<sub>3</sub>



$$\frac{\mathrm{m}^*}{\mathrm{m}} = 1 - \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} \Sigma(\omega)}{\partial \omega} \Big|_{\omega=0} \approx 2$$

$$\widetilde{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}) = \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}^*}{\mathbf{m}}\right)^{-1} \varepsilon_0(k)$$

increasing pressure 500 Prototypical Mott insulator. Iso-structural paramagnetic metal to paramagnetic insulator transition critical point 400 with small volume change due to chemical negative pressure. paramagnetic paramagnetic temperature [K] 005 insulator metal corundum corundum structure structure V 0 100 antiferromagnetic monoclinic insulator structure 0 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.04 0.06 0  $(V_{1-x} M_{x})_{2} O_{3}$ — + Cr + Ti 🔶

doping concentration

Mott insulator  $V_2O_3$ 





Paramagnetic metal to paramagnetic insulator transition with small change in corundum crystal structure parameters

K.Held et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5345 (2001), G.Keller et al, Phys. Rev. B 70, 205116 (2004)

# Heavy fermions material LiV<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>





Heavy-fermions without f-electrons: linear specific heat coefficient  $\gamma$ =420 mJ/molK<sup>2</sup>, effective electron mass *m<sup>\*</sup>/m* =25 below T<sub>K</sub> ~28 K

Cubic spinel crystal structure with local trigonal symmetry

# Heavy fermions material LiV<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>



Sharp quasiparticle peak above the Fermi for T=0 limit (PQMC)

R.Arita et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 166402 (2007)

Charge transfer insulator NiO



Zaanen et al, PRL 55, 418 (1985)

#### Charge transfer insulator NiO



Charge transfer insulator in paramagnetic phase. Ni<sup>+2</sup> (d<sup>8</sup>) ion in cubic rock salt crystal structure

J. Kuneš, et al, Phys. Rev. B 75, 165115 (2007)

### Charge transfer insulator NiO



# Metal-insulator transition in MnO



Metal-insulator transition (paramagnetic insulator to paramagnetic metal) with pressure in MnO accompanied with high-spin to low-spin state transition.

J. Kunes et al, Nature Materials 7, 198 (2008)

#### Metal-insulator transition in MnO

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Metal-insulator transition in MnO

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Decreasing volume with pressure increases crystal field spliting  $\Delta_{cf}$  competing with exchange energy J that results in HS  $\rightarrow$  LS transition with volume collapse.

#### Correlated covalent insulators FeSi and FeSb<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Transition from non-magnetic semiconductor to paramagnetic metal with temperature increase in FeSi and FeSb<sub>2</sub>. Electron doping in Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>Si results in ferromagnetic metallic state.

#### Correlated covalent insulators FeSi and FeSb<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

J. Kunes et al, Phys.Rev. B 78, 033109 (2008), V. Mazurenko et al, Phys. Rev. B 81, 125131 (2010)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Tc=26K for F content ~11%

Y. Kanamura et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 3296 (2008)]

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

DMFT results for Hamiltonian and Coulomb interaction parameters calculated with Wannier functions for Fe3d bands only U=0.8 eV J=0.5 eV

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

DMFT results for Hamiltonian and Coulomb interaction parameters calculated with Wannier functions for all bands (O2p,As4p,Fe3d) U=3.5 eV J=0.8 eV

Moderately correlated regime with significant renormalization for electronic states on the Fermi level (effective mass m<sup>\*</sup>~2) but no Hubbard band.

#### *BaFe*<sub>2</sub>*As*<sub>2</sub>*: DMFT results vs ARPES experiment*

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

Correlations and lattice distortion: KCuF<sub>3</sub>

KCuF<sub>3</sub>: a prototype  $e_g$  (3d<sup>9</sup>) Jahn-Teller system

Crystal structure and Orbital order (OO):

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

- pseudo cubic perovskite l4/mcm
- cooperative JT distortion below 1000 K
- Neel temperature ~38 K
- $d_{x^2 y^2}$  hole antiferroorbital ordering

![](_page_32_Figure_8.jpeg)

metallic solution -> inconsistent with exp

# KCuF<sub>3</sub>: GGA+DMFT results

#### Total energy:

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

# → structural relaxation due to electronic correlations !

Leonov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 096405 (2008)

*U* = 7.0 eV, *J* = 0.9 eV

#### GGA:

- metallic solution
- total energy almost const for JT distortion < 4 %</li>
- no JT distortion (orbital order) for T > 100 K !
- → inconsistent with experiment GGA+DMFT:
  - *paramagnetic* insulator
  - energy gain of ~ 175 meV
  - antiferro-orbital order
  - optimal JT distortion at 4.2 %
  - JT distortion persists up to 1000 K (melting tem-re)
  - → in good agreement with exp

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

f-electrons localization in Ce

M.B. Zoelfl et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 276403 (2001)

- Dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) is a powerful tool to study correlation effects
- *Ab-initio* definition of correlated orbitals and interaction strength (U) between them based on Wannier functions formalism results in "firstprinciples" DFT+DMFT calculations scheme
- DFT+DMFT method was successful in describing paramagnetic Mott insulators, correlated metals, charge transfer insulators, metal-insulator transitions with pressure and temperature, cooperative Jahn-Teller lattice distortions