## Gamma-ray Emission from Pulsars – an Outer Magnetospheric Gap Prospective

K.S.Cheng, Department of Physics HKU



## Why are pulsars powerful radiation sources?

- Pulsars are rotating and strongly magnetized objects, so they can act like unipolar inductor
- The maximum potential drop can be as large as
  - $V_{\text{max}} \approx 6.6 \times 10^{12} B_{12} P^{-2} volts$
- For young pulsars, the maximun potential can be much higher than 10<sup>15</sup> volts
- This potential drop can accelerate charged particles and radiate high energy photons from various accelerators in the magnetosphere



## Gamma-ray pulsars detected before 2009



- Only 7 γ-ray pulsars known in EGRET era
- Only 1 radio-quiet pulsar was known.
- No MSPs was found.

### Fermi Satellite – The Gamma-ray Large Space Telescope (launch 11/6/2008)



# The Large Area Telescope (LAT) on the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope

- Pair production telescope with silicon tracker, Csl calorimeter, and segmented anti-coincidence detector
  - 20 MeV to >300 GeV
  - 8000 cm² area (at 1 GeV)
  - 0.6-0.8 deg radius PSF (1 GeV)
  - Continuous sky survey mode of operation
  - Big improvement in area, FOV, and reduction in background compared to EGRET

(Ray 2010)



Gamma-ray Space Telescope

## Abdo et al, 2009, ApJS, 183, 46



## THE 1ST FERMI PULSAR CATALOG

In addition to the search for new pulsars, 762 known pulsars with ephemerides were searched for pulsations in nine months of data.

=> 46 pulsars were detected: 22 radio-loud normal PSRs, 8 radio-loud MSPs, 16 radio-quiet PSRs (blind search). (den Hartog 2010)



### New radio MSPs discovered at Fermi unassociated sources (Ray 2010)- currently 33 MSPs



#### MSPs are a major contributor to the Galactic y-ray sources





(Abdo et. Al. 2009) The gamma-ray luminosity roughly increases with spin-down power of pulsars, rougly speaking  $L \propto \sqrt{\dot{E}}$  (N.B. the gamma-ray power depends sensitively on the real distance to the pulsar.)

## **Observed spectral properties**

# • $F(E) \sim E^{-\Gamma} \exp(-E/E_c)$ where $\Gamma \sim 0.6$ -2.4 and $E_c \sim 1-8$ GeV



# Spectral properties of individual pulsars Phase-dependent spectra – e.g. Crab pulsar



Counts

Counts

#### Emission morphologies Double peak (majority)











## Various Accelerator Models



### Pulsar magnetosphere



Pair creation in Outergap where  $(\vec{E} \cdot \hat{B}) \neq 0$ The high energy photons emitted by the charged particles in the gap can become pairs by

 $\gamma + x(\text{soft photon}) \rightarrow e^{\pm}$ 

These pairs limit the growth of the outer gap, where Gamma-rays can be emitted steadily.



Pair creation processes I: photon-photon pair creation (Zhang and Cheng 1997)



In this model, the typical energies of the soft Xrays and the  $\gamma$ -rays are completely determined by pulsar parameters and the gap size f

Soft X-ray photon:

 $E_X \approx 9.8 \times 10^1 f^{1/4} B_{12}^{-1/4} P^{-5/12} eV$ 

Curvature gamma-ray photon:

 $E_{c} = E_{\gamma} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{8} f^{3/2} B_{12}^{-3/4} P^{-7/4} eV$ Using pair production condition  $E_{X} E_{\gamma} \sim (m_{e}c^{2})^{2}$ outergap size (Zhang & Cheng 1997) :  $f \approx 5.5 B_{12}^{-4/7} P^{26/21}$ 

This model predicts  $L_{\gamma} \approx f^{3}L_{sd} \sim (L_{sd})^{1/14}B^{1/7}$  and  $E_{c} \sim (L_{sd})^{3/112}B^{-3/56}$ 

Insensitive to pulsar parameters

## Pair creation processes II: magnetic pair creation and strong surface magnetic field (Takata etal. 2010)

The incoming e+ can continue to radiate high energy curvature photons, in which part of them can be converted into pairs. If the surface multiple field is sufficiently strong to bend the dipolar field sideward, these pairs can flow back to the outermagnetosphere to restrict the size of the outer gap. The fractional size of the outer gap restricted by this mechanism is given by



 $f_m = 0.25K(B_m, s)P_{-1}^{1/2}$ , where K depends on the local magnetic field ( $B_m$ ) and the local curvature radius (s).

### **Model predictions I**

Once the outergap size  $f_m$  is known, we can estimate the gamma-ray power

$$L_{\gamma}(K, B_d, P) \sim I_{gap} V_{gap} \sim (f_m I_{GJ}) (f_m^2 V_{tot}) \sim 2 \times 10^{33} K^3 B_{d,12}^2 P_{-1}^{-5/2} \text{ erg/s.}$$

And the characteristic energy in the outergap is

$$E_c(K, B_d, P) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{hc\gamma^3}{s} \sim 0.55 K^{3/2} B_{d,12}^{3/4} P_{-1}^{-1} \text{ GeV}.$$

Here K is an unknown const. depending on surface magnetic field properties. We can estimate  $K \sim 1 \ (B_m \sim 10^{13}G, m=2)$  for canonical pulsars and  $K \sim 10 \ (B_m \sim 10^{11}G, m=2)$  which is the minimum field to convert 100MeV photons) for MSPs.



### Model predictions II



### **Model predictions III**

We can also express  $L_{\gamma}$  nd  $E_c$  terms of spin-down age as  $\tau$ 

 $L_{\gamma} \sim 10^{36} K^3 B_{d,12}^{-1/2} \tau_3^{-5/4} \text{ erg/s.} \qquad E_c \sim 7 K^{3/2} B_{d,12}^{-1/4} \tau_3^{-1/2} \text{ GeV}$ 

for K = 2 and the typical magnetic field of  $\langle B_{d,12} \rangle = 3$  for the canonical pulsars for K = 15 and  $\langle B_{d,12} \rangle = 3 \times 10^{-4}$  for the millisecond pulsars



### **Model predictions IV**

 We can also express
  $L_{\gamma}$  nd
  $E_c$  terms of spin-down power
 as  $L_{sd}$ 
 $L_{\gamma} \sim 2 \times 10^{32} K^3 B_{d,12}^{3/4} L_{sd,34}^{5/8}$ , erg/s
  $E_c \sim 0.22 K^{3/2} B_{d,12}^{1/4} L_{sd,34}^{1/4}$  GeV

for K = 2 and the typical magnetic field of  $\langle B_{d,12} \rangle = 3$  for the canonical pulsars for K = 15 and  $\langle B_{d,12} \rangle = 3 \times 10^{-4}$  for the millisecond pulsars

It turns out that the numerical values of  $K^{3/2}B_{d,12}^{1/4}$   $K^3B_{d,12}^{3/4}$  onical pulsars and MSPs are only differs by a factor of 2.



# Model fitting of the observed spectra – simple two-layer model (Wang et al. 2010)

The charge distribution is approximated by two regions and there are two parameters in this model, i.e. the ratio of charges and the ratio of the thickness between these regions.

(N.B. the total thickness and charges are restricted by pair creation conditions and Goldreich-Julian charge respectively.)



### Gamma-ray emission from outergap

We assume that electrons radiate  $\gamma$ -rays via curvature radiation in the gap

$$F_{cur}(E_{\gamma})^{single} = \frac{\sqrt{3}e^2\gamma_e}{2\pi\hbar sE_{\gamma}}F(x) \tag{(4)}$$

where  $\gamma_e$ , is determined by  $eE_{\parallel}(z)c = l_{cur} = 2e^2c\gamma_e^4(z)/3s^2$ 

The total curvature radiation spectrum from the outer gap is given by

$$F_{cur} = \int \frac{dN}{dz} F_{cur}^{single}(z) dz.$$
 (

In this model once the gap size  $h_2$ , the current in the main gap region  $g_1$  and the current in the screening region  $g_2$ . The total gamma-ray power is completed fixed. Of course in comparing with the observed flux we need to assume  $\Delta \Omega d^2$ .

## Spectral fits I – EGRET/Fermi pulsars

### Solid line is the model predicted curve



## **Spectral fits II-MSPs**

# The dashed line is the best fit curve of data and the solid line is the model curve.



## **Spectral fits Ill-other Fermi pulsars**



## Model fitting of the pulse morphologies

In order to explain the pulse morphologies and phasedependent spectra of pulsars, a 3D model is necessary

We adopt a Retarded magnetic field lines of the rotating and inclined dipole field-Relativistic effects are taken into account





Rotating dipole field



### Emission Morphology in ( $\theta$ , $\phi$ )



## Various pulsed morphologies (Takata et al. 2011)



# Light Curves and Emission Trajectories in the magnetosphere (Tang et al. 2008)

- The light curve is affected by the relativistic effects:
  - Aberration effect
  - Time of flight effect

Calculate the local radiation emissivity including Curvature radiation, Synchrotron radiation and inverse Compton scattering







# Phase-dependent optical polarization properties (Takata et al. 2007)



Testing gamma-ray pulsar models by Population Synthesis (Takata et al. 2011, 2012)

- #Birth rate ~1 per century
- #Randomly born in the galaxy with initial kick velocity
- #Effect of rotation of galaxy and gravitational potential is included
- #Gaussian distribution of logB
- #Initial period with dipole spin-down
- #Using best known radio emission models for calculating the radio emission properties
- #Using the outergap model for calculating the gamma-ray emission properties

## Radio Pulsars



## Radio-loud gamma-ray pulsars with $F_{\gamma} \ge 10^{-11} \text{ erg/cm}^2 \text{s}^2$



### Radio-quiet gamma-ray pulsars $F_{\gamma} \ge 2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg}/$





#### Two peaks in distribution of photon index

- -1.8~2; we observe emissions from main region + screening region with viewing angle ~90deg.
- 1.2~1.4; emission from only main region with a smaller viewing angle



## Fermi unidentified source and Pulsars



 Curvature index and Variability index (Abdo, et al. 2010)
 C-index>11 -->Spectral shape
 can not be fitted by single power low
 V<23.1 -->Steady sources

Fermi Pulsars
 -C>5

-V<23





• Fermi unidentified sources with C>5 and V<23 must be dominated by the Galactic sources.

 Others will be dominated by Extra Galactic sources









Fermi unidentified sources with C>5 and V<23 will be canonical pulsars (low latitude) and the millisecond pulsars (high latitude).

## **Summary and Discussion**

#The outer gap model provide possible explanation for some of the observed gamma-ray pulsar data detected by Fermi Satellite

#Currently Only radio-loud MSPs were found, it is interesting to find the existence of radio-quiet gamma-ray MPSs – it is virtually impossible to find the period in gamma-rays but X-rays is an alternative

#There are many other observed properties, which require explanation. For example only 1 normal pulsar, i.e. the Crab pulsar, whose radio pulse and gamma-ray pulse are completely aligned but there are 4 MSPs with this feature, why?

#Realistically the magnetic field is not pure dipolar, for example a completely force free field is different from that of dipolar field. How does the realistic field structure, i.e. a partial force free plus a partial vacuum magnetosphere, affect the model predictions?