Wave Processes Laboratory Faculty of Mechanics & Mathematics Moscow M. V. Lomonosov State University



Self-sustaining waves in metastable media

Energy needed to support such waves is released by the wave itself :
waves of combustion,
waves of boiling in overheated liquids,
waves of thermonuclear fusion.



Two modes of combustion



Advantages of detonation mode

High thermodynamic efficiency of Chapmen-Jouget detonation as compared to other combustion modes is due to the minimal entropy of the exhaust jet. CO emission reduction. High rate of energy conversion (10^3 times) Specific impulse increase

I_{PDE}

Practical importance of controlling detonation initiation

working out effective preventive measures, such as suppressing deflagration to detonation transition (DDT) in case of combustible mixture ignition,

the advantages of burning fuel in a detonation regime in comparison with slow burning at constant pressure attract increasing attention to pulse detonation engines.



Deflagration to detonation transition scenarios.

- Macro-kinetics mathematical model for DDT.
- Several new combustion modes in tubes incorporating cavities.
- The effect of volume ratio parameters on combustion modes.
- The effect of non-uniformity in cavities size distribution.

Flame Acceleration Scenarios in Gases.



Macroscopical Kinetics for Modeling of DDT

Equations for multicomponent reacting compressible viscous flows. **Three-equation turbulence model. Reduced chemistry modeling.** Initial and boundary conditions. **Confinement geometry: tubes with wider** cavities.

Governing equations for reacting turbulent flows

 $\partial_t(\rho) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u}) = 0$ $\partial_t (\rho Y_k) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u} Y_k) = -\nabla \cdot \vec{I}_k + \dot{\omega}_k$ $\partial_t (\rho \vec{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) = \rho \vec{g} - \nabla p + \nabla \cdot \tau$ $\partial_t (\rho E) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u} E) = \rho \vec{u} \cdot \vec{g} - \nabla \cdot p \vec{u} - \nabla \cdot \vec{I}_a + \nabla \cdot (\tau \cdot \vec{u})$ $p = R_g \rho T \sum_{k} Y_k / W_k \qquad E = \sum_{k} Y_k (c_{vk} T + h_{0k}) + \frac{\vec{u}^2}{2} + k$ $\vec{I}_q = \vec{J}_q + \sum (c_{pk}T + h_{0k})\vec{I}_k \quad \vec{I}_k = -\rho(D + (v^t / \sigma_d))\nabla \cdot Y_k \quad \vec{J}_q = -(\lambda + \sum c_{pk}Y_k\rho(v^t / \sigma_t))\nabla \cdot T$ $\tau = (\mu + \rho v^t)(\nabla \vec{u} + \nabla \vec{u}^T - (2/3)(\nabla \cdot \vec{u})U) - (2/3)\rho kU$ $v^t = C_{\mu} \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}.$

Turbulence model

 $\partial_t(\rho k) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u} k) = \nabla \cdot ((\mu + \rho (\nu^t / \sigma_k)) \nabla k) + \tau^t : \nabla \vec{u} - \rho \varepsilon$ $\partial_t(\rho\varepsilon) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u}\varepsilon) = \nabla \cdot ((\mu + \rho(\nu^t / \sigma_\varepsilon))\nabla\varepsilon) + (\varepsilon / k)(C_{1\varepsilon}\tau^t : \nabla \vec{u} - C_{2\varepsilon}\rho\varepsilon)$ $\partial_t (\rho \tilde{c}_p \theta) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \tilde{u} \tilde{c}_p \theta) = \nabla \cdot ((\lambda + \sum c_{pk} Y_k \rho (v^t / \sigma_k)) \nabla \theta) + P_\theta + W_\theta - D_\theta,$ $\theta = \overline{T'T'}$ $T = \overline{T} + T'$ $P_{\theta} = 2\rho \sum c_{pk} Y_k \left(v^t / \sigma_k \right) \left(\nabla T \right)^2 \qquad W_{\theta} = -\sum \overline{\dot{\omega}_k' T'} h_{0k}$ $D_{\theta} = C_{g} \rho \sum_{k} c_{pk} Y_{k} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta_{m} - \theta}, \quad \tilde{c}_{p} = \sum_{k} c_{pk} Y_{k}$ $C_{\mu} = 0.09, \quad C_{1\varepsilon} = 1.45, \quad C_{2\varepsilon} = 1.92,$ $\theta_m = \overline{T}^2 / 4.$ C_g = 2.8. k, ε, θ $\sigma_d = 1, \quad \sigma_t = 0.9, \quad \sigma_k = 1, \quad \sigma_\varepsilon = 1.3.$ Moscow M.V.Lomonosov State University

Chemistry modeling.

 $O_2; C_n H_m; CO; CO_2; H_2; H_2O; N_2$

 $\dot{\omega}_k = \sum \omega_{kj}$ $C_n H_m + \left(\frac{n}{2} + \sigma \frac{m}{4}\right) O_2 \rightarrow nCO + \sigma \frac{m}{2} H_2 O + (1 - \sigma) \frac{m}{2} H_2$ $W_{\theta} = -T' \sum_{k=1}^{B} \sum_{k=1}^{K} h_k^0 \dot{\omega}_{kj}$ $CO + 0.5O_2 \rightarrow CO_2$ $CO_2 + M \rightarrow CO + 0.5O_2 + M$ $A_{j}(T) = \begin{cases} K_{j} \exp\left(-\frac{T_{aj}}{T}\right), T \ge T_{mj} \end{cases}$ $H_2 + 0.5O_2 \rightarrow H_2O$ $H_2O + M \rightarrow H_2 + 0.5O_2 + M$ $T < T_{mj}$ 0, $\overline{T'A(T)} = \theta \frac{A(\overline{T} + \sqrt{3\theta}) - A(\overline{T} - \sqrt{3\theta})}{2\sqrt{3\theta}}$ $\overline{A(T)} = \frac{1}{6}A(\overline{T} + \sqrt{3\theta}) + \frac{2}{3}A(\overline{T}) + \frac{1}{6}A(\overline{T} - \sqrt{3\theta}).$ Moscow B.V.Lomonosov (State University)

Boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} x = 0, \\ x = x_{i}, r_{i} \le r \le R_{i}: \quad u_{x} = u_{r} = 0, \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = 0, \frac{\partial Y_{k}}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \begin{array}{c} r = R_{i}, x_{i} \le x \le x_{i+1} \\ r = r_{i}, x_{i-1} \le x \le x_{i} \end{array} i = 1, \dots N - 1, : \quad u_{x} = u_{r} = 0, \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = 0, \frac{\partial Y_{k}}{\partial r} = 0, \\ r = 0, 0 \le x \le X: \quad u_{r} = 0, \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial r} = 0, \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = 0, \frac{\partial Y_{k}}{\partial r} = 0 \\ k = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \vec{n}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \vec{n}} = 0, \\ k = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \vec{n}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \vec{n}} = 0, \\ C_{\mu} = C_{\mu}^{0} f_{\mu}, \\ C_{1\varepsilon} = C_{1\varepsilon}^{0} f_{1}, \\ C_{2\varepsilon} = C_{2\varepsilon}^{0} f_{2}, \end{array} f_{1} = 1 + \left(\frac{0.05}{f_{\mu}}\right)^{3}, \qquad R_{i} = \frac{k^{2}}{v\varepsilon}, \quad R_{y} = \sqrt{k} \frac{y}{v}, \end{aligned}$$

Turbulent combustion model validation

A problem was regarded of flame propagation in a tube of constant crosssection filled in with $CH_3OH + 1.5\alpha(O_2 + 1.6N_2)$ The kinetic mechanism was based on the one suggested by Marinov N.M. (1999) and incorporated 129 elementary stages. **Results were compared with experiments by** Karpov V.P. et al.(1986)

Turbulent combustion model validation II



Pressure profiles along the axis



Onset of detonation via

Moscow M.V.Lomonosov State University

Deflagration to detonation transition simulation.



t = 1.33

Reaction front velocity



Studying the geometrical effect on the onset of detonation

 $\beta_{ER} = \frac{S_{chamb} - S_{tube}}{S_{chamb}} \quad ; \quad \alpha_{ER} = \frac{S_{chamb} L_{chamb} + S_{tube} L_{tube}}{S_{chamb} (L_{chamb} + L_{tube})} \quad ; \quad \alpha_{ER} = 1 - \frac{\beta_{ER}}{1 + A}; \quad A = \frac{L_{chamb}}{L_{tube}}$





The influence of cavities incorporated into the tube along the whole length



The effect of expansion ratio



The effect of expansion ratio

 $\beta_{FR} = 0.40$



The joint effect of expansion ratio and fuel concentration.



Self-sustaining velocity versus expansion ratio



The effect of fuel concentration (two-chambers in ignition section)



The influence of initial gas temperature on the DDT in tubes with 2 fore-chambers



Combustion in detonation engine

Detonation wave transmission from thin gap into a

cylinder. Upper part – pressure maps, lower part – temperature maps

Conclusions

Transition processes between two modes of self-sustainig waves propagation in meta-stable media are essentially controlled by:
geometry of the channel,
fuel concentration,
mixture temperature.